

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9 WESTERN DIVISION
10

11 CARMEL SPITERI,) No. ED CV 11-00822-DSF (VBK)
12)
13 Plaintiff,) ORDER (1) ACCEPTING AND ADOPTING
14) THE FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATION
15 v.) OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE
16) JUDGE, AND (2) GRANTING
17 RIVERSIDE COUNTY, et al.,) DEFENDANTS RIVERSIDE COUNTY,
18) SHERIFF STANLEY SNIFF AND CARREN
19 Defendants.) ROBINSON'S MOTION TO DISMISS AND
20) (3) GRANTING DEFENDANTS COUNTY OF
21) SONOMA, STEPHAN PASSALACQUA,
22) TANIA PARTIDA, BILL COGBILL, A.J.
23) MITTENHALT, RANDALL WALKER AND
24) TRAVIS RIDENHOUR'S MOTION TO
25) DISMISS
26)
27)
28)

20 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §636, the Court has reviewed the First
21 Amended Complaint and all other papers along with the attached Report
22 and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge. Further, the
23 Court has engaged in de novo review of those portions of the Report
24 and Recommendation to which Plaintiff has objected.

25 **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that the Court: (1) accepts the findings
26 and recommendations of the United States Magistrate Judge; (2) grants
27 Defendants County of Riverside, Sheriff Sniff and Carren Robinson's
28 Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint with prejudice;

1 (3) grants Defendants County of Sonoma, Stephan Passalacqua, Tania
2 Partida, Bill Cogbill, A.J. Mittenhalt, Randall Walker and Travis
3 Ridenhour's Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint with
4 prejudice; and (4) directs that Judgment be entered dismissing these
5 Defendants from this action.

6 4/30/14

7 DATED: _____



8 _____
9 DALE S. FISCHER
10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28